TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Tree details
TPO Ref (if applicable):

Owner (if known): THCH

Tree/Group No: T1

Location: DIMMONT ESMITE

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

5) Good
3) Fair
3) Fair
4) Poor
5) Dead
6) Dying/dangerous*
5 Good Highly suitable
5 AND VITALITY. VERY FEW MINOR
5 DEFECTS WHICH IS TO BE EXPECTED
6) THIS AGE

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+
Highly suitable
4) 40-100
Very suitable
2) 20-40
Suitable
1) 10-20
O) <10*

Highly suitable
Score & Notes THIS IS A MATURE TREE
THAT IS OVER 100 YEARS OLD.
4 - IN IT'S CURRENT CONDITION THE
TREE IS EXPECTED TO REACH FULL AGE

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

Highly suitable

Score & Notes

VEN LARGE

5 - THEE CLEARI

JISARLE TROM'

FUBLIC HIGHLY

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes

1

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes

3 - FORSEEABLE THROAT

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0 Do not apply TPO
1-6 TPO indefensible
7-10 Does not merit TPO
11-14 TPO defensible
15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:

18

DECISION:
DEFINITELY MERITS
TPO

^{*} Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

^{*}Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

~!~	23 Surveyor:	FAMO NATA	Αاد		
Tree detail TPO Ref (if Owner (if k	_	ec/ Grou p No: T2 Location: D	INMON	Species: SOLBUS T ESTATE	ARIA
	REFERTO	GUIDANCE NOTE	FOR ALL DE	FINITIONS	
	ity assessment & suitability for TPO				
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead 0) Dying/dang * Relates to exist	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be Unsuitable terous* Unsuitable ting context and is intended to ap	suitable 5		TREE IS IN G LITY. A COU 2 DEFECTS W TED FROM AT	OOD HEALTH PLE OF VERLY JHICH IS TO PEEE OF THIS AGE
	span (in years) & suitabi		acjects only		
5) 100+ 4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10* *Includes trees w	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable vhich are an existing or near futur	L			MATURE TREE ARS OLD. IN ON THE TREE FULL AGE
			oze cientia onid	rowing their context, or win	en are significantly negating the
c) Relative p	r trees of better quality ublic visibility & suitabili	ity for TPO	ose <u>cieany</u> outg	rowing their context, or win	en are significantly regularly the
c) Relative p Consider realisti 5) Very large tr 4) Large trees, 3) Medium tre 2) Young, smal	r trees of better quality	ity for TPO th changed land use ominent large trees le to the public view only sle only with difficulty		Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable	Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VII
c) Relative p Consider realisti 5) Very large tr 4) Large trees, 3) Medium tre 2) Young, smal 1) Trees not vis d) Other fac	recess of better quality rublic visibility & suitability reces with some visibility, or present or medium trees clearly visibles, or large trees with limited l, or medium/large trees visibility or medium/large trees visible to the public, regardless	ity for TPO th changed land use cominent large trees le to the public view only sle only with difficulty of size		Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable	Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VOI TO THE PUBLIC F
c) Relative p Consider realisti 5) Very large tr 4) Large trees, 3) Medium tre 2) Young, smal 1) Trees not vir d) Other fac Trees must have 5) Principal co 4) Tree groups 3) Trees with i 2) Trees of par	recess of better quality rublic visibility & suitability repotential for future visibility wi rees with some visibility, or pr or medium trees clearly visib rees, or large trees with limited the or medium/large trees visib sible to the public, regardless tors	ity for TPO th changed land use cominent large trees le to the public view only sle only with difficulty of size e zero score) to qualify catures, or veteran tree rtant for their cohesic orative or habitat imp y if rare or unusual	es on	Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable	Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VOI TO THE PUBLIC F
c) Relative p Consider realisti 5) Very large tr 4) Large trees, 3) Medium tre 2) Young, smal 1) Trees not vir d) Other fac Trees must have 5) Principal co 4) Tree groups 3) Trees with i 2) Trees of par 1) Trees with r	retrees of better quality rublic visibility & suitability of potential for future visibility with rees with some visibility, or proor medium trees clearly visibilities, or large trees with limited l, or medium/large trees visibility of the public, regardless tors accrued 7 or more points (with no emponents of arboricultural ferometers of groups imported dentifiable historic, commem ticularly good form, especially	aty for TPO th changed land use cominent large trees le to the public view only sle only with difficulty of size e zero score) to qualify catures, or veteran tree retant for their cohesic orative or habitat imp y if rare or unusual edeeming features	es on	Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable	Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VOI TO THE PUBLIC F

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible
7-10	Does not meritTPO
11-14	TPO defensible
15+	Definitely merits TPO